

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/761,412	Applicant(s) IMANISHI ET AL.	
	Examiner Michael Aboagye	Art Unit 1793	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Michael Aboagye.

(3) _____

 (2) Douglas W. Hahm.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 January 2008
Time: 1:05 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:


26

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Claim 26 was discussed and applicant agreed on minor revisions to said claim for clarity.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 JONATHAN JOHNSON
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)